

BRIEF REPORT

Dynamical patterns of human postural responses to emotional stimuli

PANDELIS E. PERAKAKIS,^{a,b} SOFIA IDRISSE,^a JAIME VILA,^a AND PLAMEN CH. IVANOV^{c,d,e}

^aDepartment of Personality, Evaluation and Psychological Treatment, Faculty of Psychology, University of Granada, Campus Cartuja, 18071 Granada, Spain

^bLaboratory of Experimental Economics, University Jaume I, Avda. Sos Baynat s/n, Castellón 12071, Spain

^cHarvard Medical School and Division of Sleep Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

^dCenter for Polymer Studies and Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, US A

^eInstitute of Solid State Physics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria

Abstract

Postural displacements in response to emotional activation have recently been proposed as a direct and objective index of approach–avoidance behavior in humans. Here, we present the results of an experiment designed to assess spontaneous postural responses to discrete affective pictures, briefly presented in random order of valence. Our findings question the interpretation of phasic postural responses to emotional stimuli as approach–avoidance behavior. Further, we identify a robust dynamical pattern, characterized by specific features indicating that attentional processes may play a role in human postural responses to emotional stimuli.

Descriptors: Postural responses, Emotion, Approach-avoidance, Orienting response, Center of pressure

The approach–avoidance distinction is central to the understanding of both human and animal motivated behavior (Elliot, 2008). Whereas in animal investigations the actual movement toward a reward or away from an aversive stimulus has been and still is a relevant objective measure to probe the psychological and related neurophysiological mechanisms of motivated behavior (Blanchard & Blanchard, 1969; Fonio, Benjamini, & Golani, 2009), in human research, an objective index of physical approach or avoidance remains elusive.

A series of empirical studies has examined the pulling or pushing of levers as a possible motor index of approach–avoidance behavior. In this framework, a faster pull of the lever (arm flexion) in the presence of a positive compared to a negative stimulus is assumed to indicate approach, whereas a faster push of the lever (arm extension) in the presence of a negative compared to a positive stimulus is believed to represent avoidance (Rutherford & Lindell, 2011). Experimental evidence, however, has shown that the matching of arm flexion or extension to approach or avoidance behavior, respectively, depends on the experimental instructions, which may influence the affective coding of specific motor actions on a representational level that interferes with response selection (Eder & Rothermund, 2008).

This research was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (Grants PSI2008-04372, JCI-2010-06790), The Brigham and Women's Hospital Biomedical Research Institute Fund, The Office of Naval Research (ONR Grant 000141010078), and The National Institutes of Health Grant IRO1-HL098437.

Address correspondence to: Pandelis E. Perakakis, Laboratory of Experimental Economics, University Jaume I, Avda. Sos Baynat s/n, Castellón 12071, Spain. E-mail: peraka@ugr.es

A recent study has suggested an alternative experimental methodology to assess approach–avoidance responses to emotional activation in controlled laboratory settings (Hillman, Rosengren, & Smith, 2004). Postural sway was measured while subjects stood on a force platform viewing pictures from three affective categories: pleasant, unpleasant, and neutral. It was hypothesized that positively evaluated pictures would evoke body movement toward the stimulus (approach behavior) and, correspondingly, negatively evaluated pictures would produce body movement away from the stimulus (avoidance behavior). Because forward movement decreases the actual distance between the subject and the affective stimulus, whereas backward leaning increases the subject–stimulus distance, it is reasonable to argue that postural sway can be used as an objective measure of approach–avoidance behavior that is not influenced by experimental instructions. In this study, women exhibited a posterior displacement in response to all pictorial stimuli, which was more pronounced, however, for the unpleasant pictures. Although this posterior displacement to unpleasant pictures could be interpreted as avoidance behavior, the observation that pleasant stimuli also triggered a posterior movement in women, whereas in the same study men exhibited less backward displacement to unpleasant pictures than to pleasant and neutral ones, renders the approach–avoidance interpretation problematic. Furthermore, in that experiment, pictures from the same affective category were presented together in blocks. Thus, the authors aimed at exploring postural behavior induced by sustained emotional states, rather than assessing phasic responses to discrete emotional stimuli.

A second study examined postural sway in response to emotional stimuli as a possible index of approach–avoidance behavior utilizing pictures from three affective categories (pleasant, unpleas-

COP responses

Here we propose an alternative hypothesis that postural responses to emotional stimuli may be related to attentional processes. This hypothesis is supported by the following findings: (a) A similar dynamical pattern of COP responses is evoked by all visual stimuli regardless their valence (Figures 1a and 2a,c); (b) the amplitude of the COP negative (posterior) displacement in women is potentiated for pleasant and unpleasant stimuli, which are more attentionally demanding compared to neutral stimuli (Figure 2a); and (c) the dynamical pattern of COP responses reveals a characteristic plateau region that persists during the visual stimulation period and terminates after the stimulus offset (Figures 1a and 2a,c). The presence of such a prolonged (up to 5 sec) plateau may

indicate a sustained period of perceptual processing requiring increased allocation of attentional resources. (d) Simultaneous heart rate recordings reveal a cardiac deceleration in response to emotional pictures that replicates previous findings, where such decelerations were characterized as an attentional bradycardia indicative of an orienting response (Bradley, 2009).

In summary, in this brief report we uncover a dynamical pattern of spontaneous human postural responses to discrete, briefly presented affective pictures. Our findings question the interpretation of postural responses to emotional stimuli as approach–avoidance behavior and suggest a previously unrecognized involvement of attentional processes.

References

- Blanchard, R., & Blanchard, D. (1969). Passive and active reactions to fear-eliciting stimuli. *Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology*, 68, 129–135. doi:10.1037/h0027676
- Bradley, M. (2009). Natural selective attention: Orienting and emotion. *Psychophysiology*, 46, 1–11. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.2008.00702.x
- Bradley, M., Codispoti, M., Sabatinelli, D., & Lang, P. (2001). Emotion and motivation II: Sex differences in picture processing. *Emotion*, 1, 300–319. doi:10.1037/1528-3542.1.3.300
- Bradley, M., & Lang, P. (1994). Measuring emotion: The Self-Assessment Manikin and the semantic differential. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 25, 49–59. doi:10.1016/0005-7916(94)90063-9
- Carvalho, J., da Rocha, A., Nascimento, F., Souza Neto, J., & Junqueira, L., Jr. (2002). Development of a Matlab software for analysis of heart rate variability. In B. Yuan & X. Tang (Eds.), *6th International Conference on Signal Processing* (Vol. 2, pp. 1488–1492). Beijing, China: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. doi:10.1109/ICOSP.2002.1180076
- Eder, A., & Rothermund, K. (2008). When do motor behaviors (mis)match affective stimuli? An evaluative coding view of approach and avoidance reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 137, 262–281. doi:10.1037/0096-3445.137.2.262
- Elliot, A. (2008). *Handbook of approach and avoidance motivation*. New York: Psychology Press.
- Fonio, E., Benjamini, Y., & Golani, I. (2009). Freedom of movement and the stability of its unfolding in free exploration of mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 106, 21335–21340. doi:10.1073/pnas.0812513106
- Hillman, C., Rosengren, K., & Smith, D. (2004). Emotion and motivated behavior: Postural adjustments to affective picture viewing. *Biological Psychology*, 66, 51–62. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2003.07.005
- Koch, S., Holland, R., Hengstler, M., & van Knippenberg, A. (2009). Body locomotion as regulatory process. *Psychological Science*, 20, 549–550. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02342.x
- Perakakis, P., Joffily, M., Taylor, M., Guerra, P., & Vila, J. (2010). KARDIA: A Matlab software for the analysis of cardiac interbeat intervals. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 98, 83–89. doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2009.10.002
- Rutherford, H., & Lindell, A. (2011). Thriving and surviving: Approach and avoidance motivation and lateralization. *Emotion Review*, 3, 333–343. doi:10.1177/1754073911402392
- Stins, J., & Beek, P. (2007). Effects of affective picture viewing on postural control. *BMD Neuroscience*, 8, 83. doi:10.1186/1471-2202-8-83

(RECEIVED December 22, 2011; ACCEPTED April 13, 2012)